

Student Satisfaction Survey (Academic year 2023 – 2024)

FEEDBACK ANALYSIS

Student Satisfaction survey was conducted in March 2024. Total number of feedbacks received was 89 including B. Pharm Sem-VIII and M. Pharm Sem - IV students (Summer 2024 appearing). Overall analysis of the survey is as follows:

Sr. No.	Statements	Rating Scale	Average response (Out of 04)	Evaluation
1.	How much of the syllabus was covered in the class?	4 – 85 to 100% 3 – 70 to 84% 2 – 55 to 69% 1– 30 to 54% 0 –Below 30%	3.80	85 to 100%
2.	How well did the teachers prepare for the classes?	4 –Thoroughly 3 – Satisfactorily 2 – Poorly 1 – Indifferently 0 – Won't teach at all	3.39	Thoroughly
3.	How well were the teachers able to communicate?	4 – Always effective 3 – Sometimes effective 2 – Just satisfactorily 1– Generally ineffective 0– Very poor communication	3.46	Always effective
4.	The teacher's approach to teaching can best be described as	4– Excellent 3 – Very good 2 – Good 1 – Fair 0– Poor	3.35	Excellent
5.	Fairness of the internal evaluation process by the teachers.	4 – Always fair 3 – Usually fair 2 – Sometimes unfair 1 – Usually unfair 0– Unfair	3.47	Always fair
6.	Was your performance in assignments discussed with you?	4 – Every time 3 – Usually 2 – Occasionally/Sometimes 1 – Rarely 0– Never	3.17	Every time
7.	The institute takes active interest in promoting internship, student exchange, field visit opportunities for students.	4 – Regularly 3 – Often 2 – Sometimes 1 – Rarely 0– Never	2.46	Often
8.	The teaching and mentoring process in your institution facilitates you in cognitive, social and emotional growth.	4 – Significantly 3 – Very well 2 – Moderately 1 – Marginally 0– Not at all	3.08	Significantly

9.	The institution provides multiple opportunities to learn and grow.	4 – Strongly agree 3 – Agree 2 – Neutral 1 – Disagree 0– Strongly disagree	3.12	Strongly agree
10	Teachers inform you about your expected competencies, course outcomes and programme outcomes.	4 – Every time 3 – Usually 2– Occasionally/Sometimes 1 – Rarely 0– Never	3.40	Every time
11	Your mentor does a necessary follow-up with an assigned task to you.	4 – Every time 3 – Usually 2 – Occasionally/Sometimes 1 – Rarely 0 – I don't have a mentor	3.38	Every time
12	The teachers illustrate the concepts through examples and applications.	4 – Every time 3 – Usually 2 – Occasionally/Sometimes 1– Rarely 0 – Never	3.62	Every time
13	The teachers identify your strengths and encourage you with providing right level of challenges.	4 – Fully 3 – Reasonably 2 – Partially 1 – Slightly 0– Unable to	3.13	Fully
14	Teachers are able to identify your weaknesses and help you to overcome them.	4 – Every time 3 – Usually 2 – Occasionally/Sometimes 1 – Rarely 0 – Never	2.97	Usually
15	The institution makes effort to engage students in the monitoring, review and continuous quality improvement of the teaching learning process.	4 – Strongly agree 3 – Agree 2 – Neutral 1 – Disagree 0 – Strongly disagree	3.21	Strongly agree
16	The institute/ teachers use student centric methods, such as experiential learning, participative learning and problem solving methodologies for enhancing learning experiences.	4 – To a great extent 3 – Moderate 2 – Some what 1 – Very little 0 – Not at all	3.31	To a great extent
17	Teachers encourage you to participate in extracurricular activities.	4 – Strongly agree 3 – Agree 2 – Neutral 1 – Disagree 0 – Strongly disagree	3.28	Strongly agree

18	Efforts are made by the institute/ teachers to inculcate soft skills, life skills and employability skills to make you ready for the world of work.	4 – To a great extent 3 – Moderate 2 – Some what 1 – Very little 0 – Not at all	3.29	To a great extent
19	What percentage of teachers use ICT tools such as LCD projector, Multimedia, etc. while teaching.	4 – Above 90% 3 – 70 – 89% 2 – 50 – 69% 1 – 30 – 49% 0 – Below 29%	3.61	Above 90%
20	The overall quality of teaching-learning process in your institute is very good.	4 – Strongly agree 3 – Agree 2 – Neutral 1 – Disagree 0 – Strongly disagree	3.29	Strongly agree

No specific suggestions were received in the feedback form relies.



Date: 23/04/2024

(Mr. Abhiram J. Deshmukh)